

2013

POPULATION AND HOUSING CENSUS



THE REPUBLIC OF THE GAMBIA



HOUSING AND HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| List of Tables | i |
| List of Figures | ii |
| List of Annexes | ii |
| Preface | iii |
| Executive Summary | iv |
| Concepts and Definitions | vi |
| CHAPTER 1: HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS | 8 |
| 1.1 Introduction..... | 8 |
| 1.1.1 Household Tenure of Accommodation | 8 |
| 1.1.2 Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Household..... | 10 |
| 1.1.3 Tenure of Accommodation and Age of Household Head..... | 12 |
| 1.1.4 Tenure of Accommodation and Marital Status of Household Head..... | 12 |
| 1.1.5 Occupation of Household Heads and Tenure of Accommodation | 13 |
| 1.2 Housing Conditions | 14 |
| 1.2.1 Main Source of Light | 14 |
| 1.2.2 Main source of light and tenure of accommodation | 16 |
| 1.2.3 Main Cooking Fuel by Tenure of Accommodation | 16 |
| 1.2.4 Cooking Place | 17 |
| 1.2.5 Toilet Facilities by Tenure of Accommodation | 18 |
| 1.2.6 Disposal of Household Waste | 19 |
| 1.2.7 Place of Bath by Tenure of Accommodation..... | 21 |
| 1.2.8 Source of Water by Tenure of Accommodation | 22 |
| 1.2.9 Inter-Censal Comparison of Sources of Water Supply..... | 24 |
| CHAPTER 2: HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS | 25 |
| 2.1 Distribution of Number of Households by LGA | 25 |
| 2.2 Household Size | 26 |
| 2.2.1 Average Household Size..... | 26 |
| 2.2.2 Household Heads by Size, Sex and Residence | 27 |
| 2.2.3 Proportion of Single-Person Households as a Percentage of Total Households by Residence and LGA, 2003 and 2013 | 28 |
| 2.3 Characteristics of Household Heads | 29 |
| 2.3.1 Sex of Household Heads..... | 29 |
| 2.3.2 Mean Age of Household Heads | 30 |
| 2.3.3 Household Size by Mean Age of Household Head | 30 |
| 2.3.4 Marital Status of Household Heads | 31 |
| 2.3.5 Household Heads and Type of Union..... | 32 |
| 2.3.6 Marriage and Household Size..... | 32 |
| 2.3.7 Educational Attainment of Household Heads..... | 33 |
| 2.3.8 Household Size and Education of Household Head | 34 |
| 2.3.9 Household Heads and Occupation | 36 |
| 2.3.10 Employment Status of Household Heads | 37 |
| Annexes | 38 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1.1.1 A: Percentage distribution of tenure of accommodation by Sex of household head and residence | 9 |
| Table 1.1.1B: Percentage distribution of tenure of accommodation by LGA..... | 9 |
| Table 1.1.2A: Percentage distribution of tenure of dwelling by type of household..... | 11 |
| Table 1.1.2B: Percentage distribution of households by type of household Local Government Area and residence | 11 |
| Table 1.1.3: Percentage distribution of households by age of household head and tenure of accommodation | 12 |
| Table 1.1.4: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Marital Status, Tenure of accommodation and Sex | 13 |
| Table 1.1.5 Percentage distribution of occupation by tenure of accommodation of household head | 14 |
| Table 1.2.1: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Source of Light, LGA and Residence | 15 |
| Table 1.2.2 Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Tenure of Accommodation and Main Source of light | 16 |
| Table 1.2.3: Percentage distribution of cooking fuel by LGA | 17 |
| Table 1.2.4: Percentage distribution of households by cooking place, LGA and residence | 17 |
| Table 1.2.5: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Toilet Facility and Local Government Area, and Residence | 18 |
| Table 1.2.6A: Percentage distribution of households by method of solid waste disposal, LGA and residence | 20 |
| Table 1.2.6B: Percentage Distribution of Households by regularity of Collection of Solid Waste, LGA and Residence | 21 |
| Table 1.2.7: Percentage distribution of households by bath places, LGA and Residence | 22 |
| Table 1.2.8A: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Source of Water, Local Government Area, and Residence | 23 |
| Table 1.2.8B Percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and main source of water. | 23 |
| Table 1.2.9: Inter-censal Change in the Percentage Distribution of Households by Source of Water, 1983-2013 | 24 |
| Table 2.1: Number of households by LGA and percentage change | 25 |
| Table 2.2.2: Percentage distribution of households by size, residence and sex of household head | 28 |
| Table 2.2.3: Comparative distribution of single person households, 2003 and 2013 census by LGA and residence | 29 |
| Table 2.3.1: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Sex, Local Government Area and Residence, 2003 and 2013 | 29 |
| Table 2.4.3: Percentage distribution of mean age of household heads by household size, residence and sex | 30 |
| Table 2.3.4: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Marital Status, Sex, Local Government Area and Residence. | 31 |
| Table 2.3.5: Percentage distribution of ever married household heads by type of union and sex | 32 |
| Table 2.3.6: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Household Size, Marital Status and Sex | 33 |
| Table 2.3.7: Percentage Distribution of Household Heads by Sex, Educational attainment and Residence | 34 |
| Table 2.3.9: Percentage Distribution of Economically Active Household Heads by Occupation, Residence and Sex | 36 |
| Table 2.3.10: Percentage Distribution of Economically Active household Heads by Employment Status, Residence and Sex | 37 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.1.1 Percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation, 2003 and 2013 censuses | 8 |
| Figure 1.1.2: Percentage distribution of households by type of households | 10 |
| Figure 2.1: Number of households from 1983 to 2013 | 26 |

List of Annexes

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Table 1.1a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation, sex and residence | 38 |
| Table 1.2a: Distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and LGA | 38 |
| Table 1.4a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation and age-group | 38 |
| Table 1.5a: Distribution of household heads by marital status, tenure of | 39 |
| accommodation and sex..... | 39 |
| Table 1.6a: Distribution of the economically active head of households by tenure of | 39 |
| accommodation and occupation | 39 |
| Table 1.7a : Distribution of household heads by source of light and sex | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| Table 1.9a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation and main source of light ... | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| Table 1.11b: Distribution of households by cooking place and residence..... | 40 |
| Table 1.12a: Distribution of households by type of toilet facility and LGA. | Error! Bookmark not defined. |

Preface

This is volume 6 of the 2013 Population and Housing Census and the information is related to housing and household characteristics. It provides information on the number and type of households, different types of family settings, average household size, tenure of accommodation and other household characteristics such as sources of drinking water and light, types of toilet facilities, place of bath, cooking place etc. It also gives some basic demographic characteristics of household heads such as age, sex, marital status etc.

We hope the information in this report will complement other data sets to enhance policy formulation on housing and household characteristics.

We thank Mr. Edrissa Ceesay for the preparation of this report. We also thank other GBoS staff for finalizing the report.

We wish to extend sincere thanks to The Gambia Government for providing funding for the conduct of the census, and the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) for their support both technical and financial for the conduct of the 2013 Population and Housing Census.



Nyakassi M.B. Sanyang
Statistician General

Executive Summary

- The total number of households in The Gambia in 2013 was 217,610. The average household size was 8.5 persons per household. Basse Local Government Area has the highest average household size (14.9 persons per households)
- The number of Households has increased by 38.2 per cent from 2003 to 2013.
- The average age of household heads in 2013 was 46.2 years and is almost the same as in 2003 which was 46.1 years.
- Mean age of male household heads in the urban areas was 44.5 years and in the rural areas it was 50.4 years. The corresponding figures for female in the urban and rural areas are 44.5 and 46.0 respectively.
- Eighty-eight per cent of male and 63.5 per cent of female household heads were married in 2013.
- In 2013, 70.3 per cent of the ever married male household heads were in monogamous union, and 29.7 per cent were in polygamous unions.
- About 69 per cent of the ever married female household heads were in monogamous union, while 31.3 per cent were in polygamous unions.
- Only 10.1 per cent of male household heads were not married and 5.8 per cent of female household heads were not married.
- In 2013, 31.3 per cent of household heads were engaged in Service, Shop and Market Sales Work. This sector employed the largest proportion of the economically active household heads and is followed by Agricultural work with 25.1 per cent.
- Majority of household heads were self-employed with 61.0 per cent while 31.6 per cent were employed for pay.
- Analysis of tenure of accommodation shows that 58.5 per cent of household heads own their accommodation, 31.9 per cent were renting and 9.5 per cent were occupying their accommodation on rent free basis.
- Majority of households live in composite type of family settings with 42.4 per cent; 37.3 per cent live in nuclear type of family settings and 20.3 per cent live in extended type of family settings.

- The most common source of light is electricity with 47.9 per cent followed by battery powered lamp with 31.9 per cent and candle 15.3 per cent.
- Results of the census shows that 63.5 per cent of households use firewood as main source of cooking fuel, 24.5 per cent use charcoal and 3.4 per cent use gas.
- About 40 per cent of households use pit latrine with slab, 31.4 use pit latrine without slab and 18.7 use septic tank as their toilet facility. The proportion of households that use piped to sewer system (sewage) was 2.7 per cent (exclusively used in Banjul).
- Solid waste disposal is done mainly by burning, used by 29.6 per cent of households. The second most commonly used method of solid waste disposal was throwing into bushes or open space used by 20.6 per cent of households.
- Regarding the main source of water, 'piped into compound' accounted for the highest proportion with 32.8 per cent followed by 'public stand pipe' with 21.4 per cent and 'public well with pump' with 13.7 per cent.

Concepts and Definitions

Accommodation: It is a housing unit with one or more rooms occupied by a household.

Building/Structure: A building is any independent free-standing structure comprising one or more rooms or other spaces, covered by a roof and usually enclosed within external walls or dividing walls. A building/structure may be used or intended for residential, institutional, industrial, commercial, or for the provision of services. A building could be used for multiple purposes including a combination of those mentioned above. It may therefore be a factory, shop, detached dwelling, apartment building, warehouse, garage, barn and so forth.

Composite Household: A composite household comprises at least one-family nucleus, plus other persons, some of whom may be related to the nucleus whereas others may not.

Employment Status: Refers to the position of a person with regard to employment or unemployment such that one can distinguish employee, employer, self-employed person, unpaid family worker and unemployed person.

Extended Household: An extended household comprises father, mother and children to both parents (a single-family) and any other person relating to either one or both parents.

Free Tenure: An arrangement in which member(s) of a household do not have exclusive and legal claim over ownership of the accommodation they are occupying but are occupying it on a free basis.

Household: A household is defined as a person or group of persons who live together in the same house or compound, share the same house-keeping arrangements and are catered for as one. It might be worth noting that members of a household are not necessarily related by blood or marriage as the case of maids in some instances.

Household average size: Average household size refers to the average number of persons per household. This is obtained by dividing the total number of persons in households by number of households.

Household characteristics: Refer to the social, demographic and economic status such as education, marital status, occupation, sex, age and size of a household.

Household Head: The head of household is generally the person who is responsible for the upkeep and maintenance of the household or one who is responsible for decision making in the household. This definition will largely but not necessarily encompass the person, or one of the members, in whose name the home is owned, bought, rent free or rented if he or she was present at the time of the census.

Household Size: This is the total number of persons living in a household. It consists of usual members of a household and visitors present during census night. It excludes usual members absent during census night.

Housing characteristics: Refer to facilities available in house/dwelling or associated with an accommodation designed to provide satisfaction to members of the household that occupy the accommodation. This include tenure of accommodation, source of light, source of water, toilet facilities, etc.

Housing units: A housing unit is a separate and independent place of abode intended for habitation by a single household. In rare cases, a housing unit may house more than one household. Depending on the structure and size of a building, it is common to see buildings that have more than one housing unit/accommodation/household in The Gambia.

Nuclear Household: A basic family unit, that consists of father, mother and children born to both parents.

Occupation: Occupation refers to the kind of work done by employed persons during the census reference period or work done by unemployed persons previously employed.

Predominantly Rural LGAs: Are Local Government Areas (LGAs) in which the number of rural settlements are more than the number of urban settlements. The predominantly rural LGAs are Mansakonko, Kerewan, Kuntaur, Janjanbureh and Basse.

Room: A room is defined as a space in a housing unit or other living quarters enclosed by walls reaching from the floor to the ceiling or roof covering. Rooms include bedrooms, dining rooms, living rooms, study rooms, habitable attics, servant rooms, kitchens, rooms used for professional or business purposes.

Rural: All other settlements that do not meet the criteria below are considered rural.

Temporary Head: A person who has the authority to act on behalf of the head of a household.

Tenure: Tenure refers to the arrangements under which a household occupies all or part of a housing unit. Three main types of tenure can be distinguished: owner-occupied, rent and rent-free.

Urban: According to the 2013 Population and Housing Census, a settlement is considered urban if it satisfies most of the following:

- Has commercial importance
- Has institutional importance
- Majority of the population should be non-agricultural in occupation
- Population should be 5,000 and above
- Density should be high
- Some degree of infrastructure should be available

Ventilated Improved Pit: Ventilated Improved Pit (VIP) is an improved pit latrine with a concrete top fitted with a ventilation pipe.

CHAPTER 1: HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Introduction

The availability of amenities to households are key factors in determining the general socio-economic status of the population. The 2013 Population and Housing Census collected data on tenure of accommodation, main source of light, main cooking fuel, type of toilet facility and source of water, cooking place, bath room/place and waste disposal.

During the census some household heads were absent. Since the census was to be conducted within a specified time, interviews were conducted with representatives of heads of households who may not have all the required and complete knowledge about the household.

1.1.1 Household Tenure of Accommodation

Figure 1.1.1 below shows percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation for the 2003 and 2013 censuses. During the 2013 Census, household heads were asked on what basis they occupied their accommodations. The results show that majority (58.5 per cent) of households were occupying their own accommodations in 2013 showing a slight decrease from 2003 (60.1 per cent).

The proportion of households renting their accommodations has increased by 1.0 percentage point from 30.9 per cent in 2003 to 31.9 per cent in 2013. A slight increase has been observed in the proportion of households on rent-free accommodation from 8.9 per cent in 2003 to 9.5 per cent in 2013.

Figure 1.1.1 Percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation, 2003 and 2013




Table 1.1.1A below shows percentage distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation, residence and sex. The data shows that more household heads in the urban areas (45.7 per cent) are renting their accommodations than household heads in the rural areas (3.7 per cent).

Analysis of the data by gender and place of residence shows that in the rural areas, more males than females live in their own houses whilst in the urban areas, the proportion is slightly higher for females. For those renting, the proportion was higher for females in the rural areas but higher for males in the urban areas.

Table 1.1.1 A: Percentage distribution of tenure of accommodation by sex of household head and residence

| Tenure of accommodation | Residence | | | | | | Number of households |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Urban | | | Rural | | | |
| | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | |
| Owner | 43.1 | 44.4 | 43.4 | 89.8 | 87.6 | 89.4 | 127,264 |
| Rent | 45.9 | 45.0 | 45.7 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 69,474 |
| Rent free | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 20,741 |
| NS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 131 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

It is observed from Table 1.1.1B that Banjul, Kanifing and Brikama have the highest proportions of households living in rented accommodation and the proportion ranged from 25.8 per cent in Brikama to 72.0 per cent in Banjul. Owner occupied accommodation's is higher in the predominantly rural areas and was highest in Kuntaur with 93.4 per cent. Households who occupied their accommodations on rent free basis was higher in Brikama with 14.2 per cent and lowest in Kuntaur with 2.7 per cent.


Table 1.1.1B: Percentage distribution household heads by tenure of accommodation and LGA

| LGA | Tenure of Accommodation | | | | NS | Per cent | Number of households |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | | | | |
| Banjul | 20.4 | 72.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,643 | |
| Kanifing | 32.1 | 59.4 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 | |
| Brikama | 60.0 | 25.8 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82,006 | |
| Mansakonko | 83.5 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,668 | |
| Kerewan | 81.0 | 12.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 | |
| Kuntaur | 93.4 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 | |
| Janjanbureh | 89.0 | 7.0 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 | |
| Basse | 77.0 | 18.5 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 | |
| Total | 58.5 | 31.9 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 | |

1.1.2 Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Household

There are three main type of households one of which every household belongs to. These are the composite, extended and nuclear households and the data is presented in Figure 1.1.2 below. Most households (42.4 per cent) live a composite type of household. The proportion of households living in nuclear and extended type of households are 37.3 per cent and 20.3 per cent respectively.

Figure 1.1.2: Percentage distribution of households by type of household



Information on the ownership of dwellings occupied by households was collected during the census. The table below shows percentage distribution of tenure of accommodation by type of family setting. Of households living in their own dwellings, 54.2 per cent are in composite family settings, 22.3 per cent of the households lived in extended family settings whilst 23.5 per cent of them lived in nuclear family settings. Most of the households renting their accommodations lived in nuclear family settings (59.0 per cent) and the same is true for those occupying their accommodation on rent-free basis (49.0 per cent).

Table 1.1.2A: Percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and type of family setting

| Tenure of accommodation | Family setting | | | Total |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Nuclear | Extended | Composite | |
| Owner | 23.5 | 22.3 | 54.2 | 100.0 |
| Rent | 59.0 | 17.5 | 23.5 | 100.0 |
| Rent Free | 49.0 | 17.7 | 33.4 | 100.0 |
| NS | 47.1 | 18.8 | 34.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 37.3 | 20.3 | 42.4 | 100.0 |

In both 2003 and 2013 censuses, data on nuclear, extended and composite type of family settings were collected and the comparative analysis is presented in Table 1.1.2B. There has been considerable increase in the proportions of nuclear and composite families from 2003 to 2013 but a decrease is observed in the proportion of extended families. The proportions of nuclear and composite families in 2013 are 37.3 per cent and 42.4 per cent respectively compared to 32.2 per cent and 18.1 per cent respectively in 2003. The corresponding figures for extended families in 2003 and 2013 were 49.7 per cent and 20.3 per cent respectively. The changes in the proportions of the various types of households is reflected at both LGA and place of residence as shown in the table below.

Table 1.1.2B: Percentage distribution of households by type of family setting, LGA and residence

| LGA | 2003 | | | | 2013 | | | |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Family setting | | | Number of households | Family setting | | | Number of households |
| | Nuclear | Extended | Composite | | Nuclear | Extended | Composite | |
| Banjul | 46.0 | 38.6 | 15.4 | 6,853 | 57.8 | 17.1 | 25.2 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 38.0 | 45.7 | 16.3 | 49,016 | 46.1 | 18.9 | 35.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 30.1 | 51.2 | 18.7 | 45,139 | 35.8 | 21.1 | 43.2 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 29.0 | 49.5 | 21.5 | 8,432 | 30.7 | 22.1 | 47.2 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 28.3 | 51.4 | 20.3 | 18,242 | 29.0 | 19.9 | 51.1 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 26.2 | 56.1 | 17.7 | 7,104 | 31.8 | 26.4 | 41.8 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 27.5 | 54.3 | 18.1 | 10,115 | 31.1 | 23.2 | 45.7 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 24.6 | 55.9 | 19.6 | 12,593 | 27.2 | 17.0 | 55.8 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 36.7 | 45.8 | 17.5 | 96,546 | 43.4 | 18.9 | 37.8 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 25.1 | 55.8 | 19.1 | 60,948 | 29.2 | 22.2 | 48.6 | 71,416 |
| Total | 32.2 | 49.7 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 37.3 | 20.3 | 42.4 | 100.0 |
| Count | 50,701 | 78,241 | 28,552 | 157,494 | 81,168 | 44,175 | 92,267 | 217,610 |

1.1.3 Tenure of Accommodation and Age of Household Head

Table 1.1.3 below shows percentage distribution of heads of households by age group. The highest proportion of household heads who own their accommodations are those aged 65 years and above followed by those aged between 55 and 64 years. On the other hand, household heads aged 15-24 years accounted for the highest proportion of those renting followed by those aged 25-34 years.

Table 1.1.3: Percentage distribution of households by age of household head and tenure of accommodation

| Age group | Tenure of accommodation | | | | Total | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | Per cent | Count |
| < 15 | 58.4 | 33.6 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 15-24 | 44.0 | 46.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7,126 |
| 25-34 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 41,687 |
| 35-44 | 53.0 | 36.8 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59,840 |
| 45-54 | 62.6 | 27.7 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 49,377 |
| 55-64 | 69.4 | 21.4 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 31,139 |
| 65+ | 75.3 | 16.8 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 28,267 |
| NS | 72.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 25 |
| Total | 58.5 | 31.9 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.1.4 Tenure of Accommodation and Marital Status of Household Head

Table 1.1.4 shows that the proportion of household heads who occupied their own houses were higher among the married and the separated. At national level, ownership of accommodation was highest among male household heads who are separated. For both male and female household heads, more than 50 per cent lived in their own accommodations, 31.9 per cent were renting and 9.5 per cent had accommodations on rent-free basis.

The widowed and married male household heads accounted for the largest proportions of those who own their accommodations with 61.9 per cent and 61.8 per cent respectively. The corresponding figures for female household heads are 59.3 per cent and 58.6 per cent respectively.

The table also shows that more than half of the never married population for both male (17,360) and female (2,616) household head rent their accommodations. The proportion was 56.7 per cent for females and 51.9 per cent for males. The proportion of households renting their accommodations was higher among the divorcees and the proportion was higher for females (51.9 per cent) than males (40.8 per cent).

Table 1.1.4: Percentage distribution of household heads by marital status, tenure of accommodation and sex

| Marital status | | Tenure of accommodation | | | | Total | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | Per cent | Number of Households |
| Never married | Male | 37.7 | 51.9 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17,360 |
| | Female | 32.8 | 56.7 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,616 |
| Married | Male | 61.8 | 28.8 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 151,445 |
| | Female | 59.3 | 31.2 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 28,911 |
| Divorced | Male | 47.5 | 40.8 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,737 |
| | Female | 37.7 | 51.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,296 |
| Separated | Male | 51.2 | 39.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 523 |
| | Female | 49.8 | 40.3 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 962 |
| Widowed | Male | 61.9 | 28.5 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 948 |
| | Female | 58.6 | 31.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9,747 |
| NS | Male | 58.0 | 30.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| | Female | 46.7 | 20.0 | 20 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 15 |
| Both sexes | Male | 59.2 | 31.3 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 172,063 |
| | Female | 55.9 | 34.3 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 45,547 |
| | Total | 58.5 | 31.9 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.1.5 Occupation of Household Heads and Tenure of Accommodation

Analysis of occupation of the economically active household heads by tenure of accommodation shows that majority (56,604) of the economically active household heads (181,089) are “Service, Shop and Market Sales Workers”. Among these, 45.0 per cent own their accommodations, 45.1 per cent are renting and 9.8 per cent occupied their accommodations on rent-free basis as shown in Table 1.1.5 below. This is followed by household heads working as ‘Agricultural workers’, out of which 88.2 per cent own their accommodation, 5.8 are renting and 5.9 and are occupying their accommodation on rent free basis.

Table 1.1.5 Percentage distribution of occupation by tenure of accommodation of household head

| Occupation | Tenure of Accommodation | | | | Total | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | Per cent | Count |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 51.3 | 32.3 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,290 |
| Professionals | 50.2 | 38.3 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10,190 |
| Technicians and Associate Professionals | 46.2 | 42.7 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,106 |
| Clerical Support workers | 46.0 | 43.1 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,489 |
| Service, Shop & Market Sales Workers | 45.0 | 45.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 56,604 |
| Agricultural Workers | 88.2 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 45,365 |
| Craft and Related Trade Workers | 50.5 | 39.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 33,776 |
| Plant/Machine Operators and Assemblers | 50.4 | 38.3 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12,479 |
| Elementary occupations | 47.0 | 42.1 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7,093 |
| Other | 44.5 | 29.2 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| NS | 49.5 | 39.9 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,732 |
| Total | 57.8 | 32.7 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 181,089 |

1.2 Housing Conditions

1.2.1 Main Source of Light

The census collected information on the type of fuel that the household mostly used for lighting. Table 1.2.1 presents the distribution of households by main source of light. Overall, 47.9 per cent of the households reported electricity as their main source of light. It is worth noting that there was an increase in the proportion of households that used electricity for lighting over the two censuses (the proportion was 27.8 per cent in 2003) which could be attributed to the rural electrification project that has been implemented by the Government. This is followed by battery powered lamp accounting for 31.9 per cent. Analyzing the data by place of residence shows that electricity is the main source of light in the urban areas (67.0 per cent) followed by battery powered lamp (18.4 per cent). In the rural areas, about 60 per cent of households use battery powered lamp as their main source of light followed by candle (22.2 per cent).

Analysing the data by LGA shows that 89.4 per cent and 81.8 per cent in Banjul and Kanifing respectively use electricity as their main source of light. The proportions of households using electricity as main source of light in the other LGAs ranged from 8.2 per cent in Kuntaur to 42.9 per cent in Brikama.

Table 1.2.1: Percentage distribution of household heads by source of light, LGA and residence

| | Electricity | Kerosene lamp with shade | Other kerosene lamp | Candle | Solar | Firewood | Battery powered light | Other | NS | Total | No. of Households |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
| LGA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 89.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 81.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 42.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 16.1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 21.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 16.1 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 53.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 24.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 58.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 8.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 31.0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 12.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 35.0 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 46.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 26.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 22.8 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 39.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 67.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 11.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 14,6194 |
| Rural | 8.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 22.2 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 59.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | 47.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.2.2 Main source of light and tenure of accommodation

The distribution of source of light by tenure of accommodation is presented in Table 1.2.2 below. Among households occupying their accommodations, the majority (40.8 per cent) use battery powered lamp as their main source of light. Electricity is also widely used as 36.1 per cent of households occupying their houses use electricity as their main source of light. Of those renting, 71.7 per cent use electricity and 15.5 per cent use battery powered lamp as their main sources of light. For those occupying their accommodations on rent free basis, electricity is the main source of light for most households (40.7 per cent) followed by battery powered lamp with 32.4 per cent.

Table 1.2.2: Percentage distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation and source of light

| Source of Light | Tenure of Accommodation | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS |
| Electricity | 36.1 | 71.7 | 40.7 | 20.6 |
| Kerosene lamp with shade | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Other kerosene lamp | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Candle | 16.5 | 10.9 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| Solar | 5.2 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Battery powered lamp | 40.8 | 15.5 | 32.4 | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| NS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

1.2.3 Main Cooking Fuel by Tenure of Accommodation

Most households use solid fuels cooking such as charcoal, wood and other biomass fuels which are usually a major cause of respiratory infections given that they emit a lot of smoke.

During the census, household heads or their representatives were asked their main cooking fuel and the results are presented in table 1.2.3. The data shows that 63.5 per cent of households in the country use firewood as their main cooking fuel and 24.5 per cent use charcoal which shows that wood fuels (wood and charcoal) are the most common source of fuel for cooking (88.0 per cent). Use of charcoal as main cooking fuel increased from 5.0 per cent in 2003 to 24.5 per cent in 2013.

Analyzing the data by place of residence shows that 48.2 per cent of households in the urban areas use firewood as their main cooking fuel compared to 94.7 per cent of households in the rural areas. The proportion of households using charcoal as main cooking fuel is higher in the urban (35.6 per cent) than in the rural areas (1.9 per cent).

At LGA level, only households in Banjul and Kanifing use charcoal more than firewood as their main cooking fuel. In the other LGAs, at least 70 per cent of households use firewood as main cooking fuel. Other than Brikama, Basse and Kerewan, the proportion of households using

firewood as main cooking fuel was at least 90 per cent in the other three LGAs. The use of gas as main cooking fuel accounted for 3.4 per cent and the proportion was highest in Banjul and Kanifing with 3.5 per cent and 6.9 per cent respectively.

Table 1.2.3: Percentage distribution of households' main cooking fuel by LGA and residence

| | Firewood | Kerosene | Briquette | Charcoal | Gas | Electricity | Saw dust | Other | NS | Total | Number of Households |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| LGA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 30.1 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 31.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 48.3 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 70.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 20.7 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 90.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 88.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 96.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 95.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 81.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 48.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 35.6 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 94.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.22 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | 63.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 24.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.2.4 Cooking Place

During the census, all household heads were asked their cooking place and the results are presented in table 1.2.4. In 2013, 60.3 per cent of households had separate rooms exclusively used for cooking, 13.9 per cent of households used separate room for multiple household uses as their cooking place and 18.0 per cent cooked in open spaces. Sixty-eight per cent of rural households cook in separate rooms compared to 56.6 per cent of urban households.

Table 1.2.4: Percentage distribution of households by cooking place, LGA and residence

| LGA | Separate room for single household use | Separate room for multiple household use | Open space | No food cooked in Household | Other | NS | Total | Number of households |
|------------------|--|--|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Banjul | 34.6 | 23.3 | 11.9 | 30.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 56.7 | 13.7 | 17.0 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 60.8 | 11.9 | 22.5 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 63.6 | 15.5 | 16.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 70.5 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 70.4 | 19.8 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 59.7 | 20.2 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 61.0 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 56.6 | 13.6 | 19.6 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 68.0 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | 60.3 | 13.9 | 18.0 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.2.5 Toilet Facilities by Tenure of Accommodation

Table 1.2.5 shows the distribution of households by type of toilet facility, LGA and residence. Overall, pit latrine (with slab) was the most widely used toilet facility in the country with 39.7 per cent. It was the most widely used toilet facility by urban households (41.2 per cent) compared to rural households (36.6 per cent). The second most commonly used toilet facility is pit latrine (without slab) which accounted for 31.4 per cent and the proportion was higher in the rural (51.7 per cent) than in the urban (21.5 per cent) areas.

Analysis of the data by LGA shows that in Banjul and Kanifing, piped to sewer system and septic tank was the most common toilet facility used with 87.4 per cent and 41.7 per cent respectively. For the other LGAs, except Brikama and Kerewan, pit latrine without slab was the most common type of toilet facility used by households. The proportion was higher in Janjanbureh and Basse with 55.3 per cent and 54.5 per cent respectively.

Table 1.2.5: Percentage distribution of households by type of toilet facility, LGA and residence

| LGA | Type of Toilet Facility | | | | | | | | NS | Total | Number of households |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Piped Sewer System | Septic Tank | Pit Latrine (with slab) | Pit Latrine (without slab) | Ventilated Improved Pit Latrine | Bucket / Pan | No facility / Bush/ Open | Other | | | |
| Banjul | 87.4 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 0.0 | 41.7 | 37.5 | 14.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 0.0 | 16.5 | 43.7 | 31.6 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 0.0 | 2.8 | 41.1 | 49.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 0.0 | 3.8 | 46.6 | 42.4 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 0.0 | 1.7 | 33.8 | 45.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 0.0 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 55.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 0.0 | 2.4 | 38.2 | 54.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 4.0 | 26.7 | 41.2 | 21.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 0.0 | 2.4 | 36.6 | 51.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | 2.7 | 18.7 | 39.7 | 31.4 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.2.6 Disposal of Household Waste

Solid waste disposal pose serious challenge to households. Often, there are no designated waste disposal sites close to households where these wastes could be dumped. Households resort to various methods of waste disposal. Information on various ways of solid waste disposal was collected during the census.

The most common type of solid waste disposal by households as shown in Table 1.2.6 below is burning, accounting for 29.6 per cent. Throwing waste into the bush or open space is also a commonly used method as was reported by 20.6 per cent households as a method of waste disposal while 13.4 per cent of households bury their wastes. Waste collection by municipalities was more common in Banjul and Kanifing. The proportion of waste collected by the municipalities through households' own container was higher than those collected through the municipality containers. In Banjul, about 87 per cent of household waste was collected by the municipality using households' own containers and the corresponding figure for Kanifing was 9.2 per cent. Whilst in the predominantly rural areas, land fill/burying, bush or open space and burning were the most common forms of waste disposal.

Table 1.2.6A: Percentage distribution of households by method of solid waste disposal, LGA and residence

| | Land fill/ Burying | Burning | Use as compost | Recycling | Collection by Municipality (Household Containers) | Collection by Municipality (Municipal Containers) | Collected by private firm | Set-setal* | Public dump site (authorized) | Bush or Open space | Other | NS | Total |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|---|---|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| LGA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 86.9 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kanifing | 3.4 | 14.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 41.0 | 0.8 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Brikama | 16.1 | 51.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 11.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Mansakonko | 29.2 | 22.0 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 36.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kerewan | 23.3 | 19.6 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 39.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Kuntaur | 13.4 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 60.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Janjanbureh | 18.0 | 17.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 55.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Basse | 15.9 | 23.9 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 41.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 8.3 | 32.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 22.4 | 0.8 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Rural | 24.0 | 23.5 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 42.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 13.4 | 29.6 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 15.2 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 20.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

*"Set-settal" is a national cleansing exercise which is conducted bi-monthly.

Household heads who reported that their waste was collected by municipalities and private collectors were asked the regularity of the waste collection. Of the 50,207 households whose waste were being collected by municipalities and private collectors, 55.6 per cent reported that the collection was regular and 13.1 per cent reported that the collection was not regular. Households whose waste were collected by municipalities, about 21 per cent of households reported that the collection was regular and 10.5 per cent reported that the collection was not regular.

Table 1.2.6B: Percentage distribution of households by regularity of collection of solid waste, LGA and residence

| | Collected (private) | | Collected (municipality) | | NS | Total | Number of Households |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Regular | Not regular | Regular | Not regular | | | |
| LGA | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 2.5 | 2.5 | 84.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,356 |
| Kanifing | 62.4 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 33,233 |
| Brikama | 68.1 | 15.4 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 8,892 |
| Mansakonko | 41.1 | 24.2 | 10.5 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Kerewan | 60.1 | 12.1 | 17.9 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,002 |
| Kuntaur | | | | | | | |
| Janjanbureh | 32.4 | 30.5 | 4.8 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Basse | 49.1 | 12.3 | 28.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 495 |
| Residence | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 55.7 | 12.9 | 20.9 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 49,792 |
| Rural | 40.0 | 36.6 | 9.6 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 415 |
| Total | 55.6 | 13.1 | 20.8 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 50,207 |

1.2.7 Place of Bath by Tenure of Accommodation

In 2013, the proportion of households that were using rooms exclusively for bathing by household members was 47.3 per cent showing a slight increase from 2003 (45.2 per cent) (Table 1.2.7).

Results of the census shows that, 47.3 per cent of households reported that their houses have a separate room within their accommodation which is used as a bathroom and not shared with other households while 14.0 per cent reported to have separate rooms as bath place shared with other households. Other type of bath places reported by households were enclosures without roof accounting for 38.0 while 0.5 per cent of households reported sea/river as their bath place.

About 56 per cent of urban households and 29.7 per cent of rural households have a separate room within their accommodations used as bathroom not shared with other households. The proportions of households that use enclosures without roof in the urban and rural areas are 24.7 per cent and 65.1 per cent respectively.

At LGA level, Kanifing had the highest proportion (62.6 per cent) of households that have a separate room within their accommodations used as bathroom not shared with other households, followed by Brikama with 49.7 per cent and Banjul with 42.2 per cent. Banjul has the highest proportion of households (56.1 per cent) with a separate room as a bath place shared with other households with 56.1 per cent followed by Kanifing with 23.5 per cent.

Table 1.2.7: Percentage distribution of households by bath place, LGA and residence

| | Separate room in the house (not shared) | Separate room in the house (shared) | Enclosure without roof | Sea/river | Other | NS | Total | Number of households |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| LGA | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 42.2 | 56.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 62.6 | 23.5 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 49.7 | 10.0 | 39.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 31.6 | 5.6 | 61.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 29.5 | 5.3 | 65.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 32.0 | 7.2 | 60.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 28.7 | 4.6 | 65.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 35.6 | 8.9 | 54.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 55.8 | 18.5 | 24.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 29.7 | 4.7 | 65.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | 47.3 | 14.0 | 38.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

1.2.8 Source of Water by Tenure of Accommodation

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid and schistosomiasis. Improved sources of water includes pipe borne water and well fitted with pumps.

Table 1.2.8A shows percentage distribution of households by main source of water by LGA and residence. Results of the census shows that for most households (32.8 per cent) piped into compound was their main source of water. This is followed by public stand pipe with 21.4 per cent then by public well with pump with 13.7 per cent.

Banjul has the highest proportion of households whose main source of water is piped into compound with about 72 per cent followed by Kanifing and Brikama with 62.5 per cent and 29.5 per cent respectively.

Analyzing the data by place of residence shows that, households whose main source of water is piped into dwelling and piped into compound, the proportions were higher in the urban than in the rural areas. For public stand pipe and protected well in compound as main source of drinking water, the proportion was higher in the rural than in the urban areas. For all unimproved water sources, the proportions were higher in the rural than in the urban areas.

Table 1.2.8A: Percentage distribution of households by main source of water, LGA and residence

| | Piped into Dwelling | Piped into Compound | Public Stand Pipe | Protected Well in Compound | Unprotected Well in Compound | Well with pump (public) | Well without pump (public) | Other | NS | Total | number of households |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| LGA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 24.2 | 71.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 12.7 | 62.5 | 15.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 4.9 | 29.5 | 25.1 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 1.5 | 7.6 | 29.5 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 35.3 | 10.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 1.7 | 13.4 | 34.1 | 9.7 | 4.3 | 23.7 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 0.6 | 3.3 | 16.0 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 49.1 | 22.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 2.2 | 5.4 | 22.3 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 35.1 | 21.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 0.8 | 1.3 | 11.5 | 33.0 | 5.5 | 33.8 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 9.5 | 47.5 | 19.9 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,476,194 |
| Rural | 0.6 | 2.7 | 24.4 | 12.6 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 15.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 714,160 |
| Total | 6.6 | 32.8 | 21.4 | 9.2 | 6.5 | 13.7 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

The table below shows percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and main source of water. For those households that own their accommodations, 22.6 per cent use public stand pipe as their main source of water while for 21.4 per cent of households, piped into compound was their main water source. For those renting their accommodations, 53.8 have piped into compound as their main source of water while 18.7 per cent had public stand pipe as their main source of water. Similarly, piped into compound and public stand pipe was the main source of water for those who occupied their accommodations on rent free basis.

Table 1.2.8B: Percentage distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and main source of water

| Source of Water | Tenure of accommodation | | | NS | Total |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent free | | |
| Piped into Dwelling | 4.7 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 6.6 |
| Piped into Compound | 21.4 | 53.8 | 32.8 | 20.6 | 32.8 |
| Public Stand Pipe | 22.6 | 18.7 | 23.3 | 0.8 | 21.4 |
| Protected Well in Compound | 11.1 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| Unprotected Well in Compound | 7.8 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.5 |
| Well with pump public | 20.7 | 2.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 13.7 |
| Well without pump pub | 9.4 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 |
| Other | 2.4 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| NS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

1.2.9 Inter-Censal Comparison of Sources of Water Supply

The use of piped water sources have been increasing over the census years from 1983 for both private and public taps. During the period from 1983-2013, households using piped water source have increased considerably from 22.0 per cent to 60.8 per cent. The proportion of households that were using piped water sources slightly increased from 57.5 per cent in 2003 to 60.8 per cent in 2013. (Table 1.2.9).

Table 1.2.9: Percentage distribution of households by source of water, 1983-2013

| Main source of water | Census Years | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 1983 | 1993 | 2003 | 2013 |
| Private pipe | 9.9 | 15.7 | 26.0 | 39.4 |
| Public pipe | 12.1 | 17.7 | 31.5 | 21.4 |
| Private well | 21.7 | 22.3 | 13.7 | 9.2 |
| Public well with pump | 1.1 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 13.7 |
| Public well without pump | 45.8 | 20.2 | 8.6 | 6.6 |
| Other | 2.7 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| NS | 6.8 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

CHAPTER 2: HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

The household characteristics section provides information on the following; Number of households by LGA, Marital status of household heads, Educational attainment of household heads, Average household size, Mean age of household heads by sex and Occupation of household heads.

These are also important variables of analysis about households and household heads as they contribute to the overall socio-economic status of household members.

2.1 Distribution of Number of Households by LGA


The number of households has increased considerably from 157,494 in 2003 to 217,610 in 2013. This increase in the number of households is reflected across all LGAs except Banjul in which the number of households has decreased by 3.1 per cent.

Table 2.1: Number of households by LGA and percentage change, 2003 and 2013

| LGA | Census Years | | |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | 2003 | 2013 | Percentage change |
| Banjul | 6,853 | 6,643 | -3.1 |
| Kanifing | 49,016 | 60,103 | 22.6 |
| Brikama | 45,139 | 82,006 | 81.7 |
| Mansakonko | 8,432 | 9,668 | 14.7 |
| Kerewan | 18,242 | 22,609 | 23.9 |
| Kuntaur | 7,104 | 8,913 | 25.5 |
| Janjanbureh | 10,115 | 11,849 | 17.1 |
| Basse | 12,593 | 15,819 | 25.6 |
| Total | 157,494 | 217,610 | 38.2 |

It is observed from figure 2.1 that there has been an increase in the number of households from 81,719 in 1983 to 217,610 in 2013 showing an increase of 38.2 per cent in the number of households.

Figure 2.1: Number of households from 1983 to 2013



2.2 Household Size

2.2.1 Average Household Size

Many socio-economic variables at the household level such as health, education and poverty are largely driven by the size of households. With large households, the risk of disease transmission becomes higher thus increasing the health burden of households.

The cost of education is also higher when households are large and in many developing countries families living in considerable large households face difficulties in educating their children.

The above two arguments increase the chances of poverty since there will be higher burden on the limited resources that could have been utilized to advance the socio-economic status of such households.

The number of people living in a household across the country was analyzed and the results are presented in Table 2.2.1 below. On average, 8.5 persons live in a household in the country. The proportion was higher in the rural (10.9 persons/household) than in the urban areas (7.3 persons/household).

Banjul has the lowest average number of persons per household with 4.4 while Basse has the highest with 14.9. Kanifing, Brikama, Mansakonko, Kerewan, Kuntaur and Janjanbureh LGAs have average household sizes of 6.2, 8.3, 8.2, 9.6, 10.8, and 10.5 persons/household respectively.

Table 2.2.1: Average household size by LGA and residence

| LGA | Residence | | Total Mean |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Urban Mean | Rural Mean | |
| Banjul | 4.4 | . | 4.4 |
| Kanifing | 6.2 | . | 6.2 |
| Brikama | 8.1 | 9.0 | 8.3 |
| Mansakonko | 7.0 | 8.5 | 8.2 |
| Kerewan | 7.4 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| Kuntaur | 8.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 |
| Janjanbureh | 7.8 | 11.2 | 10.5 |
| Basse | 11.5 | 17.0 | 14.9 |
| Total | 7.3 | 10.9 | 8.5 |

The (-) means there is no rural settlement in Banjul and Kanifing LGAs

2.2.2 Household Heads by Size, Sex and Residence

Households of different sizes ranging from single-person households to households with 10 members and above was analyzed by residence and sex of household head and the results are presented in Table 2.2.2 below.

In the urban areas, 25.7 per cent of male headed households have at least 10 members compared to 49.7 per cent in the rural areas. For female headed households in the urban areas, 21.2 per cent have at least 10 members and the corresponding figure was 25.4 per cent in the rural areas.

It is observed from the table that females have the highest proportion of households between 2 to 8 members in both places of residence. Males accounted for the highest proportion of single-person households and households with at least 10 members.

Table 2.2.2: Percentage distribution of households by size, residence and sex of household head

| Household Size | Residence | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Urban | | | Rural | | | Total | | |
| | Sex | | | Sex | | | Sex | | |
| | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | |
| 1 | 13.3 | 7.3 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 9.9 | 6.5 | 9.2 |
| 2 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 7.2 |
| 4 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 10.9 | 8.2 |
| 5 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 8.8 |
| 6 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 8.5 |
| 7 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| 8 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.7 |
| 9 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| 10 + | 25.7 | 21.2 | 24.6 | 49.7 | 25.4 | 45.6 | 34.0 | 22.3 | 31.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

2.2.3 Proportion of Single-Person Households as a Percentage of Total Households by Residence and LGA, 2003 and 2013

Single-person households are households where only one person lives. The 2013 Census shows that 9.2 per cent of households in the country were single person households. Percentage distribution of households by residence shows that 11.9 per cent and 3.5 per cent of urban and rural households respectively were single-person households.

The proportion of single-person households have slightly increased at the national level from 2003 (8.7 per cent) to 2013 (9.2 per cent). The highest increase in single-person households was recorded in Banjul followed by Kanifing, Kerewan and Basse. Whilst a decrease was also observed in Mansakonko, Kuntaur and Janjanbureh in 2013. (Table 2.2.3)

Table 2.2.3: Proportion of single-person household as a percentage of total households by residence and LGA, 2003 and 2013

| LGA | 2003 | | | 2013 | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Banjul | 19.8 | - | 19.8 | 25.5 | . | 25.5 |
| Kanifing | 12.6 | - | 12.6 | 15 | . | 15 |
| Brikama | 4.8 | 1.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| Mansakonko | 4.0 | 3.3 | 7.3 | 14.4 | 4.2 | 6.5 |
| Kerewan | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 6.1 |
| Kuntaur | 0.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| Janjanbureh | 2.9 | 3.1 | 6 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 4.1 |
| Basse | 4.4 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 6.7 |
| Total | 7.2 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 3.5 | 9.2 |

2.3 Characteristics of Household Heads

2.3.1 Sex of Household Heads

The results in Table 2.3.1 below shows that seven in every ten household heads in The Gambia in 2013 were males showing a slight decrease from 2003 (80.1 per cent). However, female-headed households has been on the increase from 15.9 per cent in 1993 to 19.9 per cent in 2003 and has increased slightly to 20.9 per cent in 2013. There is no much variance in the composition of household heads by sex, LGA and place of residence for both censuses.

Table 2.3.1: Percentage distribution of household heads by sex, LGA and residence, 2003 and 2013

| LGA | 2003 | | 2013 | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Banjul | 75.0 | 25.0 | 74.5 | 25.5 |
| Kanifing | 75.6 | 24.4 | 74.7 | 25.3 |
| Brikama | 81.7 | 18.3 | 80.5 | 19.5 |
| Mansakonko | 75.5 | 24.5 | 69.6 | 30.4 |
| Kerewan | 80.8 | 19.2 | 78.8 | 21.2 |
| Kuntaur | 87.2 | 12.8 | 86.7 | 13.3 |
| Janjanbureh | 86.0 | 14.0 | 84.5 | 15.5 |
| Basse | 88.5 | 11.5 | 87.9 | 12.1 |
| Residence | | | | |
| Urban | 77.4 | 22.6 | 77.1 | 22.9 |
| Rural | 84.5 | 15.5 | 83.1 | 16.9 |
| Total | 80.1 | 19.9 | 79.1 | 20.9 |

2.3.2 Mean Age of Household Heads

The average age of household heads was 46.2 years in 2013. Analysis of the data by sex and residence shows that on average, male household heads in the rural areas are older than their female counterparts in all the LGAs. Similar trend is also observed in the urban areas except in Banjul and Kanifing LGAs.

Mean age of household heads was highest in Basse with 50.5 years followed by Mansakonko with 49.3 years. Kanifing and Banjul have the lowest mean ages of household heads with 43.5 years and 44.0 years respectively.

Table 2.3.2: Percentage distribution of mean age of household heads by sex and LGA

| LGA | Urban | | Rural | | Total |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| Banjul | 42.3 | 49.3 | . | . | 44.0 |
| Kanifing | 43.1 | 44.5 | . | . | 43.5 |
| Brikama | 45.2 | 43.7 | 48.8 | 45.8 | 45.7 |
| Mansakonko | 46.3 | 43.2 | 52.0 | 46.8 | 49.3 |
| Kerewan | 46.2 | 44.8 | 50.7 | 46.4 | 48.7 |
| Kuntaur | 51.3 | 49.2 | 49.3 | 42.5 | 48.7 |
| Janjanbureh | 47.8 | 45.4 | 50.3 | 45.2 | 49.1 |
| Basse | 47.1 | 44.2 | 53.4 | 47.0 | 50.5 |
| Total | 44.5 | 44.5 | 50.4 | 46.0 | 46.2 |

The (-) means there is no rural settlement in Banjul and Kanifing LGAs

2.3.3 Household Size by Mean Age of Household Head

On average, the mean age increases as the household size increase across all household sizes. The mean age of male household heads in the urban areas was 44.5 years and in the rural areas was 50.4 years. Similarly, mean age of female household heads in the rural areas (46.0 years) was higher than those in the urban areas (44.5 years).

Table 2.3.3: Percentage distribution of mean age of household heads by household size, residence and sex

| Household size | Residence | | | | Total |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Urban | | Rural | | |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| 1 | 36.0 | 40.6 | 40.8 | 50.6 | 37.4 |
| 2 | 36.5 | 40.4 | 43.2 | 48.6 | 38.6 |
| 3 | 38.2 | 40.8 | 44.4 | 46.6 | 40.0 |
| 4 | 40.5 | 41.5 | 45.3 | 44.5 | 41.7 |
| 5 | 42.4 | 42.8 | 45.5 | 43.6 | 43.2 |
| 6 | 44.6 | 44.0 | 47.0 | 44.3 | 45 |
| 7 | 46.5 | 45.1 | 47.9 | 44.9 | 46.5 |
| 8 | 48.0 | 46.0 | 49.2 | 45.5 | 47.9 |
| 9 | 49.2 | 47.2 | 50.2 | 45.7 | 49.0 |
| 10+ | 53.0 | 50.7 | 54.0 | 47.2 | 52.9 |
| Total | 44.5 | 44.5 | 50.4 | 46.0 | 46.2 |

2.3.4 Marital Status of Household Heads

Eighty-eight per cent of male household heads were married compared to 63.5 per cent of female household heads. The proportion of male household heads who were married was higher in the rural (93.9 per cent) than in the urban areas (84.9 per cent). The corresponding figures for the married female household heads in the urban and rural areas were 59.4 per cent and 74.9 per cent respectively.

Analysis of the data by LGA shows that the proportions of married female household heads were higher in the predominantly rural LGAs where seven in every ten were married than in the other three LGAs. For the males, at least nine out of every ten household heads were married in all the LGAs with the exception of Banjul, Kanifing and Brikama.

The proportion of divorce female household heads was higher in Banjul and Kanifing with 14.3 per cent and 10.2 per cent respectively. Among household heads that were never married, the proportion was higher among males in Banjul (23.4 per cent) and Kanifing (16.8 per cent). (Table 2.3.4).

Table 2.3.4: Percentage distribution of household heads by marital status, sex, LGA and residence.

| | Sex | Never Married | Married | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | Total | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Per cent | Count |
| LGA | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | Male | 23.4 | 72.5 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4,949 |
| | Female | 10.0 | 42.3 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 31.1 | 100.0 | 1,694 |
| Kanifing | Male | 16.8 | 80.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 44,918 |
| | Female | 8.8 | 55.5 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 15,185 |
| Brikama | Male | 8.4 | 89.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 66,012 |
| | Female | 4.7 | 64.8 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 15,994 |
| Mansakonko | Male | 6.2 | 92.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6,731 |
| | Female | 3.3 | 76.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 2,937 |
| Kerewan | Male | 5.9 | 92.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 17,808 |
| | Female | 3.1 | 73.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 4,801 |
| Kuntaur | Male | 4.1 | 94.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 7,731 |
| | Female | 2.3 | 76.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 1,182 |
| Janjanbureh | Male | 4.8 | 93.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 10,017 |
| | Female | 2.5 | 74.2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 1,832 |
| Basse | Male | 6.5 | 92.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 13,897 |
| | Female | 3.3 | 71.0 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 20.4 | 100.0 | 1,922 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | Male | 12.9 | 84.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 112,731 |
| | Female | 6.9 | 59.4 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 22.4 | 100.0 | 33,463 |
| Rural | Male | 4.8 | 93.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 59,332 |
| | Female | 2.6 | 74.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 12,084 |
| Total | Male | 10.1 | 88.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 172,063 |
| | Female | 5.8 | 63.5 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 21.4 | 100.0 | 45,547 |

2.3.5 Household Heads and Type of Union

Marital status of household heads and the type of union as indicated in Table 2.3.5 shows that 70.3 per cent and 68.7 of the ever married males and females respectively were in monogamous relationships. Proportion of male household heads who had two spouses was 23.0 per cent compared to 22.0 per cent for female headed households. It is also observed that as the number of spouses increases, the proportion for both sexes decreases.

Table 2.3.5: Percentage distribution of ever married household heads by type of union and sex

| Marital status | Monogamous | | Polygamous 2 Spouses | | Polygamous 3 Spouses | | Polygamous 4 Spouses | | Polygamous 5 or more | | Total | Count |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | | |
| Married | 69.9 | 65.1 | 23.3 | 24.2 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 180,243 |
| Divorced | 89.3 | 79.1 | 8.6 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,994 |
| Separated | 91.8 | 78.2 | 7.5 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,478 |
| Widowed | 85.6 | 75.1 | 12.1 | 17.6 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 10,597 |
| Total | 70.3 | 68.7 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 197,312 |

2.3.6 Marriage and Household Size

Table 2.3.6 shows the distribution of household heads by marital status, sex and household size. Majority of household heads living in single-persons households were males who were never married (43.4 per cent) followed by males currently married (35.3 per cent). The proportion of household heads who were never married decreases as household size increases for both sexes.

The proportion of married male household heads increases with increasing household size, ranging from 35.3 per cent for those living in single-person households to 82.4 per cent for those living in households of size 10 and above. The result is mixed for female household heads with no clear trend.

Table 2.3.6: Percentage distribution of household heads by household size, marital status and sex

| Household size | Never | | Married | | Divorced | | Separated | | Widowed | | Total |
|----------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | Sex | | Sex | | Sex | | Sex | | Sex | | |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| 1 | 43.4 | 3.9 | 35.3 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| 2 | 20.6 | 3.9 | 49.0 | 14.3 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
| 3 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 60.2 | 17.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 4 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 66.6 | 18.1 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 5 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 70.0 | 17.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| 6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 72.1 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| 7 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 73.3 | 15.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| 8 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 75.2 | 14.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| 9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 76.3 | 14.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| 10 + | 2.0 | 0.2 | 82.4 | 10.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 8.0 | 1.2 | 69.6 | 13.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 100.0 |

2.3.7 Educational Attainment of Household Heads

Table 2.3.7 shows the educational attainment of household heads by sex and residence. Of the 217, 610 household heads, more than half (55.4 per cent) have never been to school, 0.4 per cent have attained early childhood education, 9.4 per cent have attained primary education, 8.6 per cent have attained lower secondary education and 19.0 per cent had upper secondary education. The proportion of those who have never been to school was higher in the rural areas (73.4 per cent) and in the urban area (46.6 per cent). For the urban and rural areas, the proportion of male household heads who have never been to school was higher than female household heads. In the urban areas, 24.2 per cent of the household heads had upper secondary education and 8.3 per cent in the rural areas.

Table 2.3.7: Percentage distribution of household heads by sex, educational attainment and residence

| Residence | | None | Early Childhood | Primary | Lower Secondary | Upper Secondary | Vocational | Tertiary* | Total | Number of households |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | |
| Urban | Male | 33.7 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 20.2 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 77.1 | 112,731 |
| | Female | 12.8 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 22.9 | 33,463 |
| | Total | 46.6 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 24.2 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 146,194 |
| Rural | Male | 59.8 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 83.1 | 59,332 |
| | Female | 13.6 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 12,084 |
| | Total | 73.4 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 71,416 |
| Total | Male | 42.3 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 16.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 79.1 | 17,2063 |
| | Female | 13.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 20.9 | 45,547 |
| | Total | 55.4 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 217,610 |

*Tertiary education includes study at diploma, bachelor, master's and doctoral levels

2.3.8 Household Size and Education of Household Head

Fourteen per cent of household heads in households with 10 members and above had upper secondary education. Male household heads in single-person households accounted for the highest proportion of household heads with upper secondary education (22.0 per cent).

Table 2.3.8: Percentage distribution of household heads by educational attainment, size of household and sex

| Size | Sex | Educational Attainment | | | | | | | Total | Count |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| | | None | Early Childhood | Primary | Lower Secondary | Upper Secondary | Vocational | Tertiary* | | |
| 1 | Male | 34.4 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 22.0 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 85.1 | 16,957 |
| | Female | 7.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 14.9 | 2,960 |
| | Both Sexes | 42.0 | 0.3 | 8.9 | 12.2 | 25.1 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 100 | 19,917 |
| 2 | Male | 28.7 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 20.0 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 72.3 | 9,863 |
| | Female | 13.7 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 27.7 | 3,784 |
| | Both Sexes | 42.3 | 0.4 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 26 | 2.9 | 8.7 | 100 | 13,647 |
| 3 | Male | 30.3 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 18.8 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 70.9 | 11,082 |
| | Female | 16.1 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 29.1 | 4,549 |
| | Both Sexes | 46.4 | 0.4 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 100 | 15,631 |
| 4 | Male | 33.4 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 17.8 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 72.2 | 12,890 |
| | Female | 15.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 27.8 | 4,969 |
| | Both Sexes | 49.1 | 0.4 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 22.6 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 100 | 17,859 |
| 5 | Male | 35.1 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 17.0 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 74.1 | 14,124 |
| | Female | 15.8 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 25.9 | 4,937 |
| | Both Sexes | 51 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 20.7 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 100 | 19,061 |
| 6 | Male | 38.2 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 75.8 | 14,064 |
| | Female | 15.3 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 24.2 | 4,491 |
| | Both Sexes | 53.5 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 19.4 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 100 | 18,555 |
| 7 | Male | 40.5 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 16.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 76.9 | 13,017 |
| | Female | 15.0 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 23.1 | 3,908 |
| | Both Sexes | 55.5 | 0.4 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 18.9 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 100 | 16,925 |
| 8 | Male | 43.9 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 15.0 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 78.4 | 11,520 |
| | Female | 14.6 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 21.6 | 3,168 |
| | Both Sexes | 58.5 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 100 | 14,688 |
| 9 | Male | 46.6 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 79.5 | 10,125 |
| | Female | 14.0 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 20.5 | 2,612 |
| | Both Sexes | 60.6 | 0.5 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 100 | 12,737 |
| 10+ | Male | 54.8 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 12.8 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 85.2 | 58,421 |
| | Female | 10.9 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 10,169 |
| | Both Sexes | 65.7 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 100 | 68,590 |
| Total | Male | 42.3 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 16.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 79.1 | 172,063 |
| | Female | 13.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 20.9 | 45,547 |
| | Both Sexes | 55.4 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 100 | 217,610 |

*Tertiary education includes study at diploma, bachelor, master's and doctoral levels

2.3.9 Household Heads and Occupation

The occupation of household heads is analyzed and presented in Table 2.3.9 below. The data shows that 24.3 per cent of male household heads in the urban areas work in the ‘Service, Shop and Market Sales’ sector. Female household heads in the urban areas work more in the ‘Agricultural sector’ (19.6 per cent). The second most prominent occupation employing male and female household heads in the urban areas was ‘Craft and Related Trade’ sector with 16.6 per cent and 5.0 per cent respectively.

A similar pattern was observed in the rural areas with male household heads working more in the ‘Service, Shop and Market Sales’ sector (39.6 per cent) whereas female household heads work more in the ‘Agricultural sector’ (24.9 per cent). Agriculture accounts for the second most prominent occupation for male household heads in the rural areas (7.9 per cent). For the female household heads in the rural areas, ‘Service, Shop and Market Sales’ was the second most prominent occupation (4.2 per cent).

Table 2.3.9: Percentage distribution of economically active household heads by occupation, residence and sex

| Occupation | Urban | | Rural | |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Professionals | 4.5 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 0.5 |
| Technicians and Associate Professionals | 3.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| Clerical Support Workers | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| Service, Shop and Market Sales Workers | 24.3 | 4.7 | 39.6 | 4.2 |
| Agricultural Workers | 4.0 | 19.6 | 7.9 | 24.9 |
| Craft and Related Trade Workers | 16.6 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| Plant/Machine Operators and Assemblers | 6.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Elementary Occupations | 2.6 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.8 |
| Other | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| NS | 1.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Total | 65.9 | 34.1 | 68.3 | 31.7 |
| Count | 101,032 | 52,187 | 19,024 | 8,846 |

2.3.10 Employment Status of Household Heads

Table 2.3.10 shows that 31.6 per cent of household heads were employed for pay, 61.0 per cent were “Self-Employed” and 4.1 per cent were “Unpaid Family Worker”. In the urban areas, 27.1, 35.5 and 0.7 per cent of male household heads were “Employed for Pay”, “Self-Employed” and “Unpaid Family Worker” respectively. For the female household heads, 21.3 per cent were employed for pay, 41.4 per cent were self-employed and 2.2 per cent were “Unpaid Family Worker”. The corresponding figures for the rural areas were 6.0 per cent, 24.3 per cent and 3.1 per cent respectively for males and 1.8 per cent, 25.9 and 3.6 per cent respectively for females.

The proportion of household heads that reported to be “Employers” is generally very low with only 1.2 per cent at the national level. By residence, 1.1 per cent and 0.6 per cent respectively of male and female headed households in the urban areas reported to be employers. In the rural areas, 0.2 per cent and 0.1 per cent respectively of male and female headed households reported to be employers.

Table 2.3.10: Percentage distribution of economically active household heads by employment status, residence and sex

| Employment status | Residence | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Urban | | Rural | | Total |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| Employed for Pay | 27.1 | 21.3 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 31.6 |
| Self-Employed | 35.5 | 41.4 | 24.3 | 25.9 | 61.0 |
| Employer | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Unpaid Family Worker | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.1 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| NS | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Total | 65.9 | 68.3 | 34.1 | 31.7 | 100.0 |
| Count | 101,032 | 52,187 | 19,024 | 8,846 | 181,089 |

Annexes

Table 1.1a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation, sex and residence

| Tenure of Accommodation | Residence | | | | | | Number of households |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Urban | | | Rural | | | |
| | Male | Female | Both sex | Male | Female | Both sexes | |
| Owner | 48,564 | 14,862 | 63,426 | 53,257 | 10,581 | 63,838 | 127,264 |
| Rent | 51,737 | 15,066 | 66,803 | 2,100 | 571 | 2,671 | 69,474 |
| Free | 12,384 | 3,523 | 15,907 | 3,910 | 924 | 4,834 | 20,741 |
| NS | 46 | 12 | 58 | 65 | 8 | 73 | 131 |
| Total | 112,731 | 33,463 | 14,6194 | 59,332 | 12,084 | 71,416 | 217,610 |

Table 1.2a: Distribution of households by tenure of accommodation and LGA

| LGA | Tenure of Accommodation | | | | Number of households |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | |
| Banjul | 1,354 | 4,785 | 501 | 3 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 19,288 | 35,710 | 5,100 | 5 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 49,178 | 21,131 | 11,634 | 63 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 8,073 | 974 | 614 | 7 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 18,320 | 2,782 | 1,502 | 5 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 8,329 | 338 | 238 | 8 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 10,540 | 829 | 462 | 18 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 12,182 | 2,925 | 690 | 22 | 15,819 |
| Total | 127,264 | 69,474 | 20,741 | 131 | 217,610 |

Table 1.4a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation and age-group

| Age | Tenure of Accommodation | | | | Number of households |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | |
| < 15 | 87 | 50 | 7 | 5 | 149 |
| 15-24 | 3,134 | 3,288 | 701 | 3 | 7,126 |
| 25-34 | 18,505 | 19,017 | 4,142 | 23 | 41,687 |
| 35-44 | 31,737 | 22,042 | 6,033 | 28 | 59,840 |
| 45-54 | 30,887 | 13,657 | 4,805 | 28 | 49,377 |
| 55-64 | 21,615 | 6,662 | 2,841 | 21 | 31,139 |
| 65+ | 21,281 | 4,752 | 2,212 | 22 | 28,267 |
| NS | 18 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Total | 127,264 | 69,474 | 20,741 | 131 | 217,610 |

Table 1.5a: Distribution of household heads by marital status, tenure of accommodation and sex.

| Marital Status Sex | | Tenure of Accommodation | | | | Number of households |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | |
| Never married | Male | 6,538 | 9,016 | 1,796 | 10 | 17,360 |
| | Female | 858 | 1,484 | 273 | 1 | 2,616 |
| Married | Male | 93,574 | 43,621 | 14,153 | 97 | 151,445 |
| | Female | 17,141 | 9,010 | 2,748 | 12 | 28,911 |
| Divorced | Male | 825 | 708 | 204 | 0 | 1,737 |
| | Female | 1,242 | 1,711 | 342 | 1 | 3,296 |
| Separated | Male | 268 | 207 | 48 | 0 | 523 |
| | Female | 479 | 388 | 95 | 0 | 962 |
| Widowed | Male | 587 | 270 | 90 | 1 | 948 |
| | Female | 5,716 | 3,041 | 986 | 4 | 9,747 |
| NS | Male | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |
| | Female | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 15 |
| Total | Male | 101,821 | 53,837 | 16,294 | 111 | 172,063 |
| | Female | 25,443 | 15,637 | 4,447 | 20 | 45,547 |
| Total | | 127,264 | 69,474 | 20,741 | 131 | 217,610 |

Table 1.6a: Distribution of the economically active head of households by tenure of accommodation and occupation

| Occupation | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS | Number of households |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 662 | 417 | 210 | 1 | 1,290 |
| Professionals | 5,117 | 3,905 | 1,166 | 2 | 10,190 |
| Technicians and Associate Professionals | 3,284 | 3,034 | 782 | 6 | 7,106 |
| Clerical Support Workers | 1,145 | 1,074 | 270 | 0 | 2,489 |
| Service, Shop and Market Sales Workers | 25,498 | 25,512 | 5,568 | 26 | 56,604 |
| Agricultural Workers | 40,016 | 2,649 | 2,654 | 46 | 45,365 |
| Craft and Related Trade Workers | 17,056 | 13,161 | 3,547 | 12 | 33,776 |
| Plant/ Machine Operators and Assemblers | 6,294 | 4,779 | 1,401 | 5 | 12,479 |
| Elementary Occupation | 3,336 | 2,985 | 769 | 3 | 7,093 |
| NS | 1,352 | 1,089 | 288 | 3 | 2,732 |
| Other | 875 | 573 | 517 | 0 | 1,965 |
| Total | 104,635 | 59,178 | 17,172 | 104 | 181,089 |

Table 1.7a: Distribution of household heads by source of light and sex

| Source of light | Sex | | Number of Household |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Electricity | 79,165 | 25,054 | 104,219 |
| Kerosene lamp with shade | 1,144 | 264 | 1,408 |
| Other kerosene lamp | 778 | 192 | 970 |
| Candle | 27,252 | 5,936 | 33,188 |
| Solar | 6,465 | 1,316 | 7,781 |
| Battery powered lamp | 56,693 | 12,692 | 69,385 |
| Other | 473 | 73 | 546 |
| NS | 93 | 20 | 113 |
| Total | 172,063 | 45,547 | 217,610 |

Table 1.9a: Distribution of household heads by tenure of accommodation and main source of light

| Source of Light | Owner | Rent | Rent Free | NS |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Electricity | 45,924 | 49,825 | 8,443 | 27 |
| Kerosene lamp with shade | 809 | 465 | 134 | 0 |
| Other kerosene lamp | 579 | 259 | 132 | 0 |
| Candle | 21,023 | 7,569 | 4,596 | 0 |
| Solar | 6,558 | 544 | 679 | 0 |
| Battery powered lamp | 51,935 | 10,736 | 6,713 | 1 |
| Other | 435 | 69 | 42 | 0 |
| NS | 1 | 7 | 2 | 103 |
| Total | 127,264 | 69,474 | 20,741 | 131 |

Table 1.11b: Distribution of households by cooking place and residence

| | Residence | | Number of households |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Urban | Rural | |
| Separate Room (Exclusive Use) | 82,740 | 48,558 | 131,298 |
| Separate Room (for use of other Households) | 19,924 | 10,406 | 30,330 |
| Open Space | 28,688 | 10,532 | 39,220 |
| Don't Cook | 13,544 | 1,335 | 14,879 |
| Others | 1,266 | 504 | 1,770 |
| NS | 32 | 81 | 113 |
| Total | 146,194 | 71,416 | 217,610 |

Table 1.12a: Distribution of households by type of toilet facility and LGA.

| | Type of Toilet Facility | | | | | | | | | Number of households |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Piped Sewer System | Septic Tank | Pit Latrine (with slab) | Pit Latrine (without slab) | Ventilated Improved Pit Latrine | Bucket/Pan | No facility/Bush/Open | Other | NS | |
| LGA | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banjul | 5,808 | 235 | 466 | 58 | 1 | 57 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 6,643 |
| Kanifing | 0 | 25,080 | 22,547 | 8,731 | 2,781 | 280 | 345 | 334 | 5 | 60,103 |
| Brikama | 0 | 13,505 | 35,866 | 25,927 | 4,020 | 170 | 1,390 | 1,080 | 48 | 82,006 |
| Mansakonko | 0 | 275 | 3,978 | 4,804 | 228 | 0 | 333 | 38 | 12 | 9,668 |
| Kerewan | 0 | 866 | 10,544 | 9,591 | 683 | 49 | 621 | 249 | 6 | 22,609 |
| Kuntaur | 0 | 155 | 3,013 | 4,022 | 194 | 1 | 1,446 | 74 | 8 | 8,913 |
| Janjanbureh | 0 | 291 | 3,864 | 6,554 | 246 | 1 | 763 | 111 | 19 | 11,849 |
| Basse | 0 | 387 | 6,049 | 8,627 | 564 | 0 | 133 | 37 | 22 | 15,819 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 5,808 | 39,102 | 60,223 | 31,405 | 6,979 | 557 | 761 | 1,314 | 45 | 146,194 |
| Rural | 0 | 1,692 | 26,104 | 36,909 | 1,738 | 1 | 4,284 | 610 | 78 | 71,416 |
| Total | 5,808 | 40,794 | 86,327 | 68,314 | 8,717 | 558 | 5,045 | 1,924 | 123 | 217,610 |